



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/633,958

08/04/2003

Hye Suk Chi

RPS920030032US1.

5183

47052 7590 05/03/2007  
SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP  
PO BOX 51418  
PALO ALTO, CA 94303

EXAMINER

FERGUSON SAMRETH, MARISSA LIANA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2854

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/03/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/633,958	CHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marissa L. Ferguson-Samreth	2854	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marissa L. Ferguson-Samreth.

(3) Joe Acayan.

(2) Dan Colilla.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 April 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Guaraldi et al. (US Patent 6,109,180).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the spring limitation with regards to the Guaraldi et al reference. It appears the limitation defines over the prior art of record. The examiner indicated that prior art will most likely be found to apply against the claims in their current form, but noted that the bearing with the projection as shown in figures 5-6 of applicant's drawings may be a unique feature (this feature however, has not yet been searched).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required